

1  
2  
3  
4 CHRISTOPHER OTEY,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 CROWDFLOWER, INC., et al.,

8 Defendants.

9  
10 No. C 12-5524 JST (MEJ)

11  
12 **DISCOVERY ORDER**  
13 **RE: DKT. NO. 112**

14 Before the Court is the parties' joint discovery dispute letter, filed June 13, 2013. Dkt. No.  
15 112. The letter concerns CrowdFlower's third-party subpoenas to three Requesters seeking "records  
16 reflecting the dates and times spent performing tasks through [AMT] or other similar such providers,  
17 including a description of the task(s) performed." *Id.* at 7. For the reasons stated in the Court's  
18 April 11, 2013 Order, Plaintiff's other employment or receipt of other income is irrelevant to the  
19 question of whether Plaintiff was Defendants' employee or whether he was an independent  
20 contractor. *See* Order at 2:19-3:7, Dkt. No. 85. Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for a protective  
21 order is GRANTED. The third party Requesters need not respond to Defendants' subpoenas  
22 because they are not likely to lead to the discovery of relevant evidence.

23  
24 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

25  
26 Dated: June 24, 2013

27  
28

---

Maria-Elena James  
United States Magistrate Judge

